

Copies to AIP { ant
WEB 3/7/83/29/83

Bass, -
Pak. to

AUG 29 1983 0452#
422609 PNI UI
952605 FTRU CH

TO : MR G C ADKINS, LEGAL

FROM: H GAI SCH

CC BBB, MJA

29TH AUGUST, 1983

RE: B+W/BAT BY-PASS FILTER PATENTS,
POSSIBLE OPPOSITION ON OUR BEHALF BY MR BASS/DR HACH

DEAR CARL,

THE INVENTIONS COVER GIMMICKS SIMILAR TO BUT NOT
IDENTICAL WITH THE ACTRON FILTER. THEY ARE NOT REALLY
FILTERS, AS THEIR PRINCIPLE OF ACTION ARE BY-PASSES OF
DIFFERENT DESIGN.

I AM REFERRING TO THE PATENT FAMILY :

BRITISH PRIORITY 8014455,
RE BRITISH PATENT 2078086 (3RD AUG, 1983),

AND

AMERICAN PRIORITY 213441,
SWEDISH APPL 8107233.2 (NOTICE OF PUBLICATION RECEIVED)

OBSERVATIONS CONCERNING, AMONGST OTHERS, THESE TWO PATENT
APPLICATIONS HAVE ALREADY BEEN FILED IN BELGIUM, DENMARK,
SPAIN, SOUTH AFRICA, WEST GERMANY, FRANCE, THE
NETHERLANDS AND IN SWEDEN.

NO INSTRUCTIONS HAVE BEEN GIVEN TO OUR PATENT AGENTS SO
FAR CONCERNING THE FILING OF PROPER OPPOSITION.

JOHN BASS THINKS THAT IF IT IS UNLIKELY THAT B+W/BAT ARE
GOING TO USE THE INVENTIONS, ONE SHOULD NOT BOTHER.

ON THE OTHER HAND, BAT HAVE BEEN DOING PRETTY
UNPREDICTABLE THINGS. SO I MIGHT BE TEMPTED TO
OPPOSE.

PLEASE CONSULT WITH YOUR COLLEAGUES AND LET US KNOW WHAT
TO DO. THE OPTIONS ARE : YES/NO, OR YES IN A FEW
COUNTRIES ONLY.

KIND REGARDS,

HELMUT GAI SCH

2025046132